A Semantics For Distributed Erlang

Hans Svensson

Koen Claessen

"Common knowledge"

"Distribution is Transparent" [1]

"Message passing between a pair of processes is assumed to be ordered" [2]

[1] J. Armstrong, B. Dacker, T. Lindgren, H. Millroth. *Open Source Erlang – White Paper*. Ericsson Computer Science Laboratory, Stockholm, Sweden 1998.

[2] J. Armstrong. *Making reliable distributed systems in the presence of software errors*. Ph.D. Thesis, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden 2003.

Hello World

```
procA() ->
PidC =
    spawn(?N1,?MODULE,procC,[]),
PidB =
    spawn(?N2, ?MODULE, procB,[PidC]),
PidC ! hello,
PidB ! world.
```

```
procB(PidC) ->
receive X ->
PidC ! X
end.
```

procC() ->
 receive X ->
 ok
 end,
 receive Y ->
 ok
 end,
 io:format("~p ~p", [X,Y]).

Chalmers University of Technology

Hello World



World Hello?!?



Only when processes are on separate nodes

"Distribution Transparent"

- Local system (one ERTS)
 - Messages are delivered instantly
 - The result is always "Hello World"

Even on the same machine

- Distributed system (many ERTSs)
 - Messages are really 'sent' between processes
 - Only message order between pair of processes
 - The result can be "World Hello"

Erlang Semantics

- Fredlund: Single-node semantics
 - Faithfully describes a single-node system
 - Used in model checking of Erlang software



Single-node process communication



Message is added directly in the receivers queue

Distributed Semantics

- Changes to existing semantics
 - Introduce the concept of nodes
 - Alter spawn-function
 - Restrict communication to one node
- Additions
 - Start and failure of nodes
 - Node-to-node communication
 - One intermediate mailbox per node
 - Fairness

Distributed Semantics



Distributed process communication



Messages are later delivered to processes, not necessarily in order of delivery, but without breaking the order for each process-pair.

Conclusions

- Distribution is only almost Transparent
- There exist problems where a single-node semantics isn't descriptive enough
 - Leader election implementation
- Model checking: future work
 - More accurate => Harder problem
 - Larger state space